

P R O  I S E

Evaluation Framework & Impact Assessment Guidance and Template

Author: Olivia Lind Haldorsson, Council of the Baltic Sea States



This work is licensed by the Council of the Baltic Sea States under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

Would you like to translate this document? Please contact the Council of the Baltic Sea States to find out more about format, accreditation and copyright.

PROMISE envisages and supports the development of national tools to evaluate Barnahus' performance and assess its impact. The results of the evaluation and impact assessment importantly **inform potential reform of the Barnahus' policy, practice and organisation**. The results can also support advocacy for budget, policy and law reform at national level to ensure an enabling environment for Barnahus.

Purpose

The purpose of the tools is to **establish a clear framework and systematic approach** to:

- a) Evaluate performance against the Barnahus Quality Standards;
- b) Assess the impact on, for example, the recovery of the child, equal access to services for all children, the effectiveness and child friendliness of the criminal investigation and the implementation of procedural safeguards for children.

Format and Content

The Promise evaluation and impact assessment templates below (also available in excel) provide inspiration and examples for both evaluation and impact assessment. The format of the templates is simple and accessible.

Please note that you will need to complete the template by including your specific indicators, data requirements and information. The template includes **a non-exhaustive list of examples** to give you an idea of indicators and data that you might want to collect. The examples can be adapted, removed and expanded on. You may also want to include data on where the results are published, and to which target groups they are disseminated to.

The [PROMISE Barnahus Quality Standards](#) and the [tracking tool](#) can also provide inspiration adapting your tools.

Indicator	Definition	Source of Data	Frequency of reporting	Data period	Baseline (data and date)	Place	Value and type of data (n, %) unit	Target	Input	Lead/responsible person for indicator
Data Processed										
Number of reported cases of violence against children	The number of individual cases of violence against children reported to the social services or the police. Only include the cases that fall under your target and age group, e.g.: cases of sexual violence in 0-17 year olds.	E.g. Social services, police, municipality	E.g. Quarterly	Quarterly starting Date to Date Year	Data on indicator collected at baseline date	Barnahus uptake area	number of cases	none	Add the actual data here, N/A or Not known	
Number of cases referred to Barnahus from the relevant authority	The number of individual cases that were brought to the attention or referred to Barnahus	E.g. Social services, police, municipality	E.g. Quarterly	Quarterly starting Date to Date Year	Data on indicator collected at baseline date	Barnahus uptake area	number of cases	none	Add the actual data here, N/A or Not known	
Number of cases seen at the Barnahus	The number of individual cases discussed, explored and/or seen at the Barnahus. Only include the cases that fall under your target and age group, e.g.: cases of sexual violence in 0-17 year olds.	Barnahus	Quarterly	Quarterly starting Date to Date Year	Data on indicator collected at baseline date	Barnahus uptake area	number of suspected victims seen	none	Add the actual data here, N/A or Not known	
MDIA Response effectiveness (evaluation of service)										
Undue Delay - wait time between initial report to first MDIA planning/consultation meeting	Number of days/weeks from initial report	Barnahus	Quarterly	Quarterly starting Date to Date Year	Data on indicator collected at baseline date	Barnahus	days/weeks	Add your target here, e.g. Max 2 weeks	Add the actual data here, N/A or Not known	
Undue Delay - wait time between initial report to interview	Number of days/weeks from initial report	Barnahus	Quarterly	Quarterly starting Date to Date Year	Data on indicator collected at baseline date	Barnahus	days/weeks	Add your target here, e.g. Max 2 weeks	Add the actual data here, N/A or Not known	
Undue Delay - wait time between initial report to medical assessment	Number of days/weeks from initial report	Barnahus	Quarterly	Quarterly starting Date to Date Year	Data on indicator collected at baseline date	Barnahus	days/weeks	Add your target here, e.g. Max 2 weeks	Add the actual data here, N/A or Not known	
Undue Delay - wait time between initial report to mental health assessment	Number of days/weeks from initial report	Barnahus	Quarterly	Quarterly starting Date to Date Year	Data on indicator collected at baseline date	Barnahus	days/weeks	Add your target here, e.g. Max 2 weeks	Add the actual data here, N/A or Not known	
Percentage of cases with MDIA planning and consultation meetings including all agencies	The percentage of meetings held for cases referred to Barnahus	Barnahus	Quarterly	Quarterly starting Date to Date Year	Data on indicator collected at baseline date	Barnahus	%	Add your target here, e.g. 100%	Add the actual data here, N/A or Not known	
Percentage of cases referred to Barnahus that received a full MDIA response	The percentage of children referred to Barnahus that received a full MDIA response, from initial planning meeting to discharge	Barnahus	Quarterly	Quarterly starting Date to Date Year	Data on indicator collected at baseline date	Barnahus	%	Add your target here, e.g. 100%	Add the actual data here, N/A or Not known	
Percentage of cases where children and caregivers have been actively involved in the planning and timing of MDIA interventions	Number of cases where children and their caregivers were provided opportunities to influence the planning and timing of interventions in Barnahus	Barnahus	Quarterly	Quarterly starting Date to Date Year	Data on indicator collected at baseline date	Barnahus	%	Add your target here, e.g. 100%	Add the actual data here, N/A or Not known	
Other (e.g. MDIA best interests assessment, interagency case management...)		Barnahus	Quarterly	Quarterly starting Date to Date Year	Data on indicator collected at baseline date	Barnahus		Add your target here, e.g. 100%	Add the actual data here, N/A or Not known	

Implementing the Barnahus Quality Standards throughout Europe


PROMISE is supporting Europe to adopt the Barnahus model as a standard practice for providing child victims and witnesses of violence rapid access to justice and care. We undertake this work to fulfil the PROMISE vision: a Europe where the human rights of children to protection from violence, support and to be heard are fulfilled.

A Barnahus provides multi-disciplinary and interagency collaboration to ensure that child victims and witnesses of violence benefit from a child-friendly, professional and effective response in a safe environment which prevents (re)traumatisation. With the formal support from national authorities, PROMISE provides opportunities to translate national commitment into action and engage internationally in the process. In addition, regular networking and strategic communications continually activate our growing network of professionals and stakeholders who are committed to introducing and expanding Barnahus services nationally.

The first PROMISE project (2015-2017) set European standards and engaged a broad network of professionals. The second PROMISE project (2017-2019) promoted national level progress towards meeting the standards and formalised the PROMISE Barnahus Network. Future work will expand these activities to include University training, case management tools, and a European accreditation system.

PROMISE is managed by the Children at Risk Unit at the Council of the Baltic Sea States Secretariat in close collaboration with Child Circle.

Access the PROMISE tools and learn more at www.childrenatrisk.eu/promise



This publication has been produced with the financial support of the Rights, Equality and Citizenship (REC) Programme (2014-2020) of the European Union. The contents herein are the sole responsibility of project partnership and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Commission.